

May 24, 2000

The Honorable Robert E. (Bud) Cramer U.S. House of Representatives 2350 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cramer:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

wie. a bunca



The Honorable James A. Gibbons U.S. House of Representatives 100 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gibbons:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

wie. in bone 1



May 24, 2000

The Honorable Mark Foley U.S. House of Representatives 113 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Foley:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

wie a bome s



May 24, 2000

The Honorable Gerald Weller U.S. House of Representatives 424 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Weller:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

wie a bunes



The Honorable Van Hilleary U.S. House of Representatives 114 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hilleary:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard



May 24, 2000

The Honorable Ted Strickland U.S. House of Representatives 336 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Strickland:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard



The Honorable Tom Coburn U.S. House of Representatives 429 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Coburn:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

wiel in bone of



May 24, 2000

The Honorable Bob Riley U.S. House of Representatives 322 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Riley:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard



May 24, 2000

The Honorable John A. Boehner U.S. House of Representatives 1011 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Boehner:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

wie a lone



May 24, 2000

The Honorable David M. McIntosh U.S. House of Representatives 1610 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McIntosh:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

wie lines



May 24, 2000

The Honorable Steven R. Rothman U.S. House of Representatives 1607 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rothman:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

Willia Komen



May 24, 2000

The Honorable Sander M. Levin U.S. House of Representatives 2268 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Levin:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

wier a bunch



May 24, 2000

The Honorable John Isakson U.S. House of Representatives 2428 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Isakson:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard



The Honorable Zach Wamp U.S. House of Representatives 423 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Wamp:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Commission's initiative to promote the development of telecommunications competition in multiple tenant environments. On July 7, 1999, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)* in WT Docket No. 99-217 and CC Docket No. 96-98. Among other issues, the *NPRM* sought comment on the Commission's authority to take action to ensure that competitive telecommunications service providers will have reasonable and nondiscriminatory access to rights-of-way, buildings, rooftops, and facilities in multiple tenant environments. In your letter, you express concern regarding both the underlying need for regulatory action and the constitutional Takings Clause implications of potential actions discussed in the *NPRM*.

The NPRM represents one step in the Commission's ongoing efforts to foster competition in local telecommunications markets pursuant to Congress' directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These efforts are intended to bring the benefits of competition, choice, and advanced services to all consumers of telecommunications, including businesses and residential customers, regardless of where they live or whether they own or rent their premises. In particular, the NPRM addresses issues that bear specifically on the availability of facilities-based telecommunications competition to customers in multiple tenant environments, such as apartment buildings, office buildings, office parks, shopping centers, and manufactured housing communities.

The Commission has not reached any conclusions regarding the matters discussed in the NPRM. The Commission currently is reviewing nearly 1000 comments that were filed on the NPRM and a related Notice of Inquiry by telecommunications companies, electric utilities, building owners, and State and local governments, including a number of comments that address the constitutional issues. As your letter indicates, certain potential actions discussed in the NPRM raise important takings issues. The Commission has not yet resolved the NPRM, but let me assure you that we are committed to ensuring that any requirements we adopt comport with the Fifth Amendment. To this end, our General Counsel's office is working closely with other Commission staff to evaluate carefully the constitutional issues raised by the NPRM, including any potential for government liability under the "just compensation" provision of the Takings Clause. I want to assure you that our staff will be considering carefully these important and complex constitutional issues, as well as other legal and policy issues raised by the NPRM, before it makes its recommendations to the Commission for its consideration.

I appreciate your interest and participation in this proceeding. We have placed your letter in the record of this proceeding and will give it full consideration along with all other comments. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

William E. Kennard

Congress of the United States ORIGINAL

Washington, DC 20515

APR 19 8 10 AH '00

April 11, 2000

RECEIVED

SEP - 7 2000

Honorable William E. Kennard Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

738 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Chairman Kennard:

We are writing you to express our concerns regarding Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) 99-141, with respect to telecommunications provider access to consumers in multi-tenanted buildings.

We certainly share your commitment to extend the benefits of a deregulated and more competitive telecommunications marketplace to all Americans as expeditiously as possible. We are concerned, however, by elements of this particular NPRM that would affect property owners across the country. Specifically, if the building access mandates discussed in NPRM 99-141 were to be implemented, they could subject building owners to intrusive federal regulations requiring them to relinquish valuable building space to telecommunications providers.

Building owners already are entering into contracts with a variety of telecommunications providers to deliver even better, faster and cheaper services to their tenants. Many building owners are investing millions of their own dollars to create the infrastructure to bring tomorrow's technology to their tenants. We believe that competitive market forces will ensure that tenants will be able to enjoy true choice of telecommunications carriers. Additionally, it is our hope that the real estate and telecommunications industries can reach an agreeable solution that will continue to expand broadband opportunities without government intervention.

Additionally, as indicated by two members of the Commission when the NPRM was circulated and as indicated in the NPRM itself, the regulations it discusses may implicate the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment. We cannot favor a policy that does not respect the private property rights of building owners and has the potential to impose significant unfunded financial liability on the taxpaying public.

Therefore, unless the Commission can demonstrate that market forces will not provide true choice to tenants and that the proposed regulations pass Constitutional muster, we ask that you refrain from advancing any regulation that mandates the terms and conditions under which telecommunications providers would be granted access to private buildings.

Sincerely,

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

arbara Celler

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Lane Camp Roy Blat fhil English Walley Herger Dorge Redonarish JMB TFM -Rechord Pombo J. Barcia Leu Calient San Low Walter B. Jones BUD CRAMER Alfante John Jin Hillons 274 Well VAN Hillenny Du al. Colu Fed Strickland

Both & Broken Stew Rathan

John M. Skimkus Barbara Cubin Dave Camp Wally Herger Phil English George Radanovich Tony P. Hall Richard W. Pombo James A. Barcia Ken Calvert E. Clay Shaw Walter B. Jones **Bud Cramer** James A. Gibbons Mark Foley Gerald Weller Van Hilleary Ted Strickland Tom Coburn **Bob Riley** David M. McIntosh John A. Boehner Steve Nathan Sander M. Levin Johnny Isakson Zach Wamp